

Substance Group: **Group 10**

Summary prepared by: **Petroleum Additives Panel**
 Health & Environmental Research Task Group

1.0 General Information

Robust Summary 10 -Water Solubility-1

CAS No.	18760-44-6																				
Test Substance Name	Thiophene, 3-(decyloxy)tetrahydro-, 1,1-dioxide																				
Method/Guideline	EEC Commission Directive 92/69/EEC Method A6 Water solubility																				
GLP (Y/N)	Yes																				
Year	2002																				
Remarks for Test Conditions	To each of three conical flasks, distilled water and test substance were added. The flasks were stirred at 30°C, one each for 24, 48 and 72 hours, and after standing at 20°C the contents of the flasks were centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 30 minutes. The concentration of the test material in the sample solutions was determined by gas chromatography. Duplicate 200 ml aliquots of each sample were extracted with three 30 mL portions of dichloromethane. Extracts were filtered through anhydrous sodium sulphate. The combined extracts were then evaporated to dryness and the residue re-dissolved in 5 mL of tetrahydrofuran. Duplicate standard solutions of test material were prepared in tetrahydrofuran at a nominal concentration of 1.00×10^3 mg/L																				
Results	<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Sample No.</th><th>Time Shaken at 30°C</th><th>Equilibration Time at 20°C</th><th>Concentration (g/l)</th><th>pH</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>1</td><td>24 hours</td><td>24 hours</td><td>5.50×10^{-2}</td><td>4.7</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>48 hours</td><td>24 hours</td><td>5.05×10^{-2}</td><td>5.3</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>72 hours</td><td>24 hours</td><td>5.66×10^{-2}</td><td>4.9</td></tr></tbody></table> <p>Mean concentration 5.4×10^{-2} g/l at $20^\circ\text{C} \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$</p> <p>The analytical method was validated with respect to linearity and recovery of the test material from aqueous media. Instrument response was linear.</p>	Sample No.	Time Shaken at 30°C	Equilibration Time at 20°C	Concentration (g/l)	pH	1	24 hours	24 hours	5.50×10^{-2}	4.7	2	48 hours	24 hours	5.05×10^{-2}	5.3	3	72 hours	24 hours	5.66×10^{-2}	4.9
Sample No.	Time Shaken at 30°C	Equilibration Time at 20°C	Concentration (g/l)	pH																	
1	24 hours	24 hours	5.50×10^{-2}	4.7																	
2	48 hours	24 hours	5.05×10^{-2}	5.3																	
3	72 hours	24 hours	5.66×10^{-2}	4.9																	
Conclusions	The water solubility of the test material was determined to be 5.4×10^{-2} g/l at $20^\circ\text{C} \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$																				
Data Quality	Reliable without restriction																				
References	Confidential business information																				
Other	November 21, 2002																				

2.0 Biodegradation

Robust Summary 10-BioDeg-1

<i>Test Substance</i>	
CAS #	18760-44-6
Chemical Name	Thiophene, 3-(decyloxy)tetrahydro-, 1,1-dioxide
Remarks	Test material Purity– 100% active ingredient
<u>Method</u>	
Method/Guideline Followed	OECD 301B, Ready Biodegradability, Modified Sturm Test; ASTM Test Method D 5864-95.
Test Type (aerobic/anaerobic)	Aerobic
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (study performed)	1997
Contact time (units)	28 days
Test apparatus	Six glass 4-liter Erlenmeyer
Inoculum	Activated sewage sludge from a domestic wastewater treatment plant and soil filtrate prepared per test guideline. Six adaptation cultures were prepared. The inoculum was combined with 900 mL of test medium within a 2-liter flask. Solutions were continuously aerated with CO ₂ free air and the test substance was incrementally added at concentrations of 4, 8 and 8 mg C/L on days 0, 7 and 11. (This adaptation of the inoculum to the test material is not called for in the OECD Guideline. This deviation from the Guideline was not considered sufficient to invalidate the study.) On day 14 a composite culture was prepared and homogenized. A standard plate count was performed. Plates were incubated at 20°C for 48 hours.
Replicates:	<i>All groups tested in triplicate</i>
Temperature of incubation:	20± 3°C
Dosing procedure:	Neat test chemical was gravimetrically added to glass cover slips, which were then added to culture medium in test vessels.
Study initiation:	Test flasks provided with 50-100 mL/minute CO ₂ free air and mixed with a magnetic stirrer. The CO ₂ produced from the degradation of organic carbon sources within each test chamber was trapped as K ₂ CO ₃ in the KOH solution and measured using a carbon analyzer.
Sampling:	Days 4, 7, 12, 14, 19, 22 and 29 (after acidification on day 28)
Concentration of test substance:	10 mg carbon (C)/L weighed directly onto tared glass slides and placed into each test substance flask.
Controls:	Blank and positive controls used per guideline. Positive control was canola oil added to the control vessel at a loading of 10 mg C/L.
Analytical method:	The CO ₂ produced from the degradation of organic carbon sources within each test chamber was trapped as K ₂ CO ₃ in the KOH solution and measured using a carbon analyzer.
Study termination:	The pH of the content of each test flask was determined. The flasks were then acidified with 3 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid to drive off inorganic carbonate. The chambers were aerated overnight and then the trapping solution closest to the test chamber was analyzed for inorganic carbon.
Method of calculating biodegradation values:	Percent biodegradation calculated as percent ratio of cumulative net carbon dioxide to theoretical carbon dioxide as determined from elemental analysis of test material.

<u>Results</u>	The test substance was not considered readily biodegradable under the criteria that requires 60% biodegradation within 28 days, achieved within 10 days of reaching 10% biodegradation. The CO ₂ production from the reference chemical exceeded the 60% of theoretical necessary to consider the test valid.
Degradation % After Time	Test substance: 9.6 ± 3.0% TCO ₂ in 28days Positive control substance: 76.9 ± 9.5% % in 28 days Final pH: 6.37
<u>Conclusions</u>	The test substance was not readily biodegradable.
<u>Data Quality</u>	(1) Reliable without restriction
<u>References</u>	This robust summary was prepared from an unpublished study by an individual member company of the HERTG (the underlying study contains confidential business information).
<u>Other</u>	Updated: 11/21/2002

3. Ecotoxicity

AQUATIC ORGANISMS

3.1 Acute Toxicity to Algae

Robust Summary 10-Algae-1

<u>Test Substance</u>	
CAS #	18760-44-6
Chemical Name	Thiophene, 3-(decyloxy)tetrahydro-, 1,1-dioxide
Remarks	Test material purity – 100% active ingredient
<u>Method</u>	
Method/Guideline followed	OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals #201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test (1984).
Test Type	Static acute toxicity test (Water Accommodated Fraction- WAF)
GLP (Y/N)	Y
Year (Study Performed)	2002
Species/Strain	Freshwater algae, <i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i> /CCAP 276/20
Element basis (# of cells/mL)	Approximately 2.45×10^6 cells/mL, 5 mL used to inoculate 1 liter of medium for an initial cell density of 10^4 cells/mL.
Exposure period/duration	72 hours
Range find test	Yes
Analytical monitoring	Not performed
Statistical methods	One-way analysis of variance, Bartlett's test and Dunnett's test were used to compare the area under the growth curve data of the treated and control groups.
Remarks field for test conditions (fill as applicable)	<p>Test Species: Cultures obtained from the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP), Institute of Freshwater Ecology, The Ferry House, Far Sawrey, Ambleside, Cumbria, U.K.</p> <p>Loading Concentrations: 0.313, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 mg/L loading rate WAF.</p> <p>Test System: The WAF was prepared only at the beginning of the test. A measured weight of test material was added to a measured volume of culture medium (10-L) in a glass vessel and stirred for 24 hours. Stirring accomplished using a magnetic stirrer. Mixing speed was adjusted such that a slight vortex formed. Following the mixing period, the test solutions were allowed to stand for one hour. A small amount of each WAF was removed and examined microscopically for the presence of micro-dispersions or globules of test material. None were observed therefore the WAF was removed from each concentration by mid-depth siphoning. The siphoned water phase (i.e., WAF) was used for the aquatic toxicity test.</p> <p>Test Conditions: A static test was conducted; i.e., there was no daily renewal of test solution. Three 100-mL replicates per treatment, inoculum ~10,000 cells/mL. The 250-mL conical flasks were plugged with polyurethane foam bungs. During the test all treatment and control flasks were randomly placed on an orbital shaker adjusted to approximately 150 cycles per minute under constant light (24 hours/day) for 72 hours. Cell densities were determined using a Coulter Multisizer II Particle Counter at 0, 24, 48 and 72 hours. pH was determined at 0 and 72 hours.</p>

	<p>Light: Continuous illumination approximately 7000 lux.</p> <p>Test temperature: 21.0° C.</p> <p>Culture Media: As specified in the guideline.</p> <p>Method of calculating mean measured concentrations: not applicable</p> <p>Exposure period: 72 hours</p>
<u>Results</u>	<p>EL50(72 hrs)= 3.5 mg/L loading rate WAF [Loading rate that reduced the biomass by 50%].</p> <p>EL50(0-72 hrs)= 63 mg/L loading rate WAF [Loading rate that reduced specific growth rate by 50%, determined by extrapolation as no concentration resulted in greater than 50% growth inhibition].</p> <p>There were no statistically significant differences in the area under the growth curve data between the control and 0.313 mg/L WAF test group, however all other loading rates were significantly reduced compared to control. Therefore the No Observed Effect Loading Rate (NOEL) was 0.313 mg/L WAF.</p> <p>The cell concentrations of the control cultures increased by a factor of 69 during the study meeting the guideline requirement of at least a factor of 16 after 72 hours.</p> <p>All test and control cultures were inspected microscopically at 72 hours. No abnormalities were observed in any cultures. Control culture pH increased from 7.4 at 0 hour to 7.9 at 72 hours. This is consistent with the guideline. In the test cultures pH increased over the 72 hour test period following a concentration dependent pattern. Greater increases were observed at lower concentrations. This was attributed to a greater number of viable cells at lower concentrations with greater utilization of carbonates and bicarbonates from respiration.</p>
<u>Conclusions</u>	<p>Both biomass and growth rate were affected by the presence of the test material.</p> <p>EL50 (72 hrs)= 3.5 mg/L loading rate WAF</p> <p>EL50 (0-72 hrs)= 63 mg/L loading rate WAF</p> <p>No Observed Effect Loading Rate (NOEL) = 0.313 mg/L loading rate WAF</p> <p>Control response was satisfactory.</p>
<u>Data Quality</u>	(1) Reliable without restriction
<u>References</u>	Confidential business information.
<u>Other</u>	Updated: 11/19/2002

4. Toxicity

Category:

4.1 Acute Toxicity

4.1.1 Acute Oral Toxicity

Robust Summary 10-Acute Oral-1

<u>Test Substance</u>	
CAS #	CAS# 18760-44-6
Chemical Name	Thiophene, 3-(decyloxy)tetrahydro-, 1,1-dioxide
Remarks	Test material dosed as received, purity - 100% active ingredient.
<u>Method</u>	
Method/Guideline followed	FHSA 16CFR1500.3
Test Type	Acute oral toxicity
GLP (Y/N)	N
Year (Study Performed)	1975
Species/Strain	Rats/Wistar
Sex	Male/female
No. of animals/sex/dose	5
Vehicle	None
Route of administration	Oral (intra-gastric)
Dose level	0.67, 1.25, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 ml/kg
Dose volume	Not specified
Control group included	No
Remarks field for test conditions	A single dose of the undiluted test material was administered intra-gastrically to five fasted male and female rats at each treatment level. A control group was not included. The animals were observed for signs of toxicity and mortality for a total of fourteen days. Individual weights were recorded at termination. All animals were euthanized at the conclusion of the observation period. Necropsies were not performed.
<u>Results</u>	Oral LD50 > 10 g/kg (males and females)
Remarks	All animals survived the duration of the study. There were no signs of toxicity observed in any of the animals. The LD50 was > 10 g/kg (males and females).
<u>Conclusions</u>	The test article, when administered as received to male and female Wistar rats, had an acute oral LD50 > 10 g/kg (males and females).
<u>Data Quality</u>	Reliable with restriction (Klimisch Code). Restriction due to the fact that this is a summary report. The report contains group summary data but not individual animal data. This is consistent with standard practice at the time that this study was conducted.
<u>References</u>	Unpublished confidential business information
<u>Other</u>	Updated: 11/15/2002

4.1.2 Acute Dermal Toxicity

Robust Summary 10-Acute Dermal-1

<u>Test Substance</u>	
CAS #	CAS# 18760-44-6
Chemical Name	Thiophene, 3-(decyloxy)tetrahydro-, 1,1-dioxide
Remarks	Test material purity - 100% active ingredient.
<u>Method</u>	
Method/Guideline followed	Similar to OECD Guideline 402
Test Type	Acute dermal toxicity
GLP (Y/N)	Not specified
Year (Study Performed)	1975
Species/Strain	Rabbits/strain not specified
Sex	Male
No. of animals/group	3
Vehicle	None
Route of administration	Dermal
Dose level	2, 4 and 8 g/kg
Dose volume	Not provided
Control group included	No
Remarks field for test conditions	The test material was applied using a syringe under a rubber sleeve that was snugly fastened around the unabraded clipped trunk of the test animal. The animals were immobilized for a 24-hour period immediately following treatment. At the end of the 24-hour period the sleeves were removed and the animals were returned to their cages for a 14-day observation period during which the animals were observed for evidence of toxicity and mortality.
<u>Results</u>	Dermal LD50 was between 4 and 8 g/kg (males)
Remarks	All animals treated at 2 and 4 g/kg survived the duration of the study. The three animals treated at 8 g/kg died on test days 5, 5 and 7. All animals treated at 2 and 4 g/kg exhibited slight weight gain during the study. No significant signs of toxicity were reported.
<u>Conclusions</u>	The test article, when administered dermally as received to male white rabbits had an acute dermal LD50 of between 4 and 8 g/kg.
<u>Data Quality</u>	Reliable with restriction (Klimisch Code). Restriction due to the failure to include individual animal clinical data in the report. This is consistent with standard practice at the time that this study was conducted.
<u>References</u>	Unpublished confidential business information
<u>Other</u>	Updated: 11/15/2002

4.2 Genetic Toxicity

Robust Summary 10-GenTox-1

<u>Test Substance</u>																																																	
CAS #	CAS# 18760-44-6																																																
Chemical Name	Thiophene, 3-(decyloxy)tetrahydro-, 1,1-dioxide																																																
Remarks	Test material purity – 100% active ingredient.																																																
<u>Method</u>																																																	
Method/Guideline followed	Similar to OECD Guideline 471																																																
Test Type	Bacterial Reverse Mutation Assay																																																
GLP (Y/N)	Y																																																
Year (Study Performed)	1980																																																
Test System	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> and <i>Escherichia Coli</i>																																																
Strains Tested	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> tester strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537; TA1538 <i>Escherichia Coli</i> tester strain WP2uvrA																																																
Exposure Method	Plate incorporation																																																
Test Substance Doses/concentration levels	1, 5, 10, 50, 100, 500, 1000 and 5000 ug/plate																																																
Metabolic Activation	With and without (0.5 mL S9 fraction mix of livers of PCB pretreated Sprague Dawley rats)																																																
Vehicle	Dimethylsulfoxide																																																
Tester strain, activation status, Positive Controls and concentration level	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>TA98</td> <td>+S9</td> <td>2-aminoanthracene</td> <td>0.5 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA98</td> <td>-S9</td> <td>2-aminofluorene</td> <td>0.1ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA100</td> <td>+S9</td> <td>2-aminoanthracene</td> <td>0.5 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA100</td> <td>-S9</td> <td>2-aminofluorene</td> <td>0.01ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA1535</td> <td>+S9</td> <td>2-aminoanthracene</td> <td>2.0 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA1535</td> <td>-S9</td> <td>N-ethyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine</td> <td>5.0 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA1537</td> <td>+S9</td> <td>2-aminoanthracene</td> <td>2.0 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA1537</td> <td>-S9</td> <td>9-aminoacridine</td> <td>80.0 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA1538</td> <td>+S9</td> <td>2-aminoanthracene</td> <td>0.5 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TA1538</td> <td>-S9</td> <td>2-nitrofluorene</td> <td>2.0 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WP2uvrA</td> <td>+S9</td> <td>2-aminoanthracene</td> <td>80.0 ug/plate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WP2uvrA</td> <td>-S9</td> <td>2-aminofluorene</td> <td>0.04 ug/plate</td> </tr> </table>	TA98	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	0.5 ug/plate	TA98	-S9	2-aminofluorene	0.1ug/plate	TA100	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	0.5 ug/plate	TA100	-S9	2-aminofluorene	0.01ug/plate	TA1535	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	2.0 ug/plate	TA1535	-S9	N-ethyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine	5.0 ug/plate	TA1537	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	2.0 ug/plate	TA1537	-S9	9-aminoacridine	80.0 ug/plate	TA1538	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	0.5 ug/plate	TA1538	-S9	2-nitrofluorene	2.0 ug/plate	WP2uvrA	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	80.0 ug/plate	WP2uvrA	-S9	2-aminofluorene	0.04 ug/plate
TA98	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	0.5 ug/plate																																														
TA98	-S9	2-aminofluorene	0.1ug/plate																																														
TA100	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	0.5 ug/plate																																														
TA100	-S9	2-aminofluorene	0.01ug/plate																																														
TA1535	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	2.0 ug/plate																																														
TA1535	-S9	N-ethyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine	5.0 ug/plate																																														
TA1537	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	2.0 ug/plate																																														
TA1537	-S9	9-aminoacridine	80.0 ug/plate																																														
TA1538	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	0.5 ug/plate																																														
TA1538	-S9	2-nitrofluorene	2.0 ug/plate																																														
WP2uvrA	+S9	2-aminoanthracene	80.0 ug/plate																																														
WP2uvrA	-S9	2-aminofluorene	0.04 ug/plate																																														
Vehicle Control	Dimethylsulfoxide																																																
Statistical Analysis	Mean revertant colony count was determined for each dose point.																																																
Dose Rangefinding Study	None reported																																																
S9 Optimization Study	None reported																																																
Remarks field for test conditions	<p>This study was conducted prior to the development of OECD Test Guideline 471. The study included the use of tester strain TA1538. OECD 471 does not incorporate this strain. This deviation from the test guideline was not considered a major study deficiency.</p> <p>There were two treatment sets for each tester strain, with (+S9) and without (-S9) metabolic activation. Each of the tester strains was dosed with eight concentrations of test substance, vehicle controls, and a positive control. Two plates/dose group/strain/treatment set were evaluated. 0.1 mL of test material, positive control or vehicle control were added to each plate along with 0.1 ml of tester strain, 0.5 mL of S9 mix (if needed) and 2.0 ml of top agar. This was overlaid onto the surface of minimal bottom agar in a petri dish. A sterility culture was</p>																																																

	also prepared. Plates were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C. The revertant colonies on the test plates and the control plates were then counted. The test substance was considered positive if the number of revertant colonies (mean value) was more than twice that of the solvent control and exhibited a dose response.
<u>Results</u>	The test substance was not genotoxic in this assay with or without metabolic activation.
Remarks	The test substance failed to exhibit a positive response with or without metabolic activation at any concentration tested. The positive control for each respective test strain exhibited at least a 2-fold increase (with or without S9) over the mean value of the vehicle control for a given strain, confirming the expected positive control response.
<u>Conclusions</u>	Under the conditions of this study, the test material was not mutagenic with or without metabolic activation.
<u>Data Quality</u>	Reliable without restriction (Klimisch Code)
<u>References</u>	Unpublished confidential business information
<u>Other</u>	Updated: 11/19/2002